

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2009



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LIV വാല്യം 54	}	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	21st July 2009 2009 ജൂലൈ 21	}	No. 29 നമ്പർ
			30th Ashadha 1931 1931 ആഷാഢം 30		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 740/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pricot Meridian (C) Unit, Chandrapuram, Valayar, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) The Secretary (CITU), Pricot Meridian (C) Unit, Chandrapuram, Valayar, Palakkad, (2) The Secretary, Pricot Meridian Employees Association (INTUC), Chandrapuram, Valayar, (3) The Secretary (BMS), Pricot Meridian (C) Unit, Chandrapuram, Valayar, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demand of the management to increase the workload of a Spinning sider to watch 8 sides from the existing workload of 6 sides, due to the decrease in the breakage because of reduction in the speed of the machine is justifiable? If so, the additional benefits the workers are eligible to get ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 741/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Vilangannur Ksheerolpadhaka Co-operative Society Limited No. R 214, Peechi, Thrissur District and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Jessy Thomas, Edayal House, Veendassery, Kannara P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of the Smt. Jessy Thomas, Assistant by the management of Vilangannur Ksheerolpadhaka Co-operative Society Limited No. R 214, Peechi is justifiable ? If not what relief she is entitled to get ?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 742/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Group Manager, Harrison Malayalam Limited, Mooply Estate, Palappilly P. O., Thrissur District-680 304 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Mohammed Ali, Tapper, C. R. No. 2672, Muchikkal House, Elikkode Division, Mooply Estate, Palappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 304 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Mohammed Ali, Tapper, C. R. No. 2672 by the management of HML, Mooply Estate, Palappilly P. O., Thrissur is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 752/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Kattikulam and Alathur Estate, Kattikulam P. O., Mananthavady and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Malabar Estate Workers Union (INTUC), PSTO, Meppadi P. O., Wayanad District-673 577 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Joy, Watchman, Kattikulam and Alathur Estate, Kattikulam, Mananthavady is justifiable or not ? If not what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 754/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Kozhikkode Samuthiri Raja, Trustee, Shri Thirunavaya Navamukunda Temple Devaswom, Thirunavaya P. O., B. V. Angady (via.), Malappuram District, (2) Shri Shanmughan Parayakudath, President, Shri Thirunavaya Ayyappa Kshethra Samithi, Thirunavaya P. O., B. V. Angady (via.), Malappuram District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. V. Unnikrishnan s/o Thayyan Kuvula Valappil Veedu, Naduvattom P. O., Kuttipuram-679 552 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Employment to Shri K. V. Unnikrishnan, Manager by the management of Shri Thirunavaya Ayyappa Temple is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to ?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 756/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Secretary, Thrissur District Private Bus Operators Association, West Palace Road, Thrissur-20 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri T. S. Gopi, S/o Sankaran, Thiyyadi House, Potor P. O., Mulamkunnathukavu, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether there is denial of Employment to Shri T. S. Gopi, Bill Collector with effect from 1-4-2008 by the management of Thrissur District Private Bus Operators Association ? If so what relief he is entitled to get?, 2. Whether the termination of service of Shri T. S. Gopi, Bill Collector with effect 1-5-2008 by the management of Thrissur District Private Bus Operators Association, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.